



2023 Nursing Education Investment Grant Program

Review Process and Form

This document provides applicants with additional information about how proposals will be reviewed, evaluated, and scored.

FoundationCCC utilizes a review panel process to evaluate proposals in order to ensure that multiple perspectives and feedback are considered. Each reviewer on the panel will complete a review form in Submittable for each proposal. Total and average scores will be automatically calculated in Submittable.

Once all reviews are complete, the reviewers will meet to discuss the results and determine recommendations for awards. Recommendations will be shared with FoundationCCC leadership for final approval and decision-making.

Proposal Review Form

ALIGNMENT WITH GRANT PROGRAM

How well does the proposed project clearly align with the grant program purpose and priorities?

- 0 - Did not answer question
- 1 - Weak
- 2 - Average
- 3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding the proposed project's alignment with the grant program purpose and the primary nursing priority that is addressed:

NEED STATEMENT

What is the justification for the proposed project? Were data sources cited and are they credible? Consider whether data is relevant for the project. For example, national data is less compelling for a regional need. Consider to what extent the justification and need are inclusive of the population to be served by the project.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding justification for the proposed project and data sources:

PROJECT DESIGN

Assess the degree to which the strategies, activities and milestones, staff roles, and timeline are clearly planned, make logical sense, and appear achievable. Consider to what extent the project design is student-centered and equitable.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding degree to which the strategies, activities and milestones, staff roles, and timeline are clearly planned, make logical sense, and appear achievable:

OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED IMPACT

Are objectives measurable and realistic given the design, timeframe, and available resources? Do objectives show measurable progress toward intended impact?

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding objectives and intended impact:

CAPACITY

What evidence shows applicant capacity to successfully implement the proposed project in a manner that is student-centered and equitable? Consider factors such as project leaders' qualifications; whether institutional leaders are engaged; resources the applicant and partners have devoted or will devote to the project; successful completion of similar grants or projects; and whether this project builds on existing work or is a new venture.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding capacity:

COLLABORATIVE PARTNERSHIPS

Did the applicant select partners that are appropriate for the proposed project? Were any critical partners left out? How well-established are such partnerships? If no partners were identified, what is the rationale? Note: Securing partnerships is not required but providing a strong rationale either way should be rated here.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding collaborative partnerships:

RISKS AND CHALLENGES

Most projects involve some level of risk. Did the applicant provide a reasonable response to possible risks or challenges, and actions to be taken to address them?

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding risks and challenges:

EVALUATION

How thorough and feasible is the evaluation plan? How well does the applicant describe the strategies and tools that will be used to track progress towards goals and measure success of the project?

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding evaluation:

LEVERAGED FUNDING AND RESOURCES

What funding, in-kind, or other resources are leveraged for the proposed project? Note the relevance of any support that is referenced. Note: Leveraging funding and resources is not required but providing a strong rationale either way should be rated here.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding leveraged funding and resources:

SUSTAINABILITY AND SCALABILITY

How well does the applicant address financial sustainability and mitigate financial risk? Is this a project that would need funding beyond the project period? If so, is there a plan to secure that funding? Does this project hold promise to be a resource in a broader geographic area or to others facing similar challenges?

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding sustainability and scalability:

BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE

Does the budget account for the resources needed to achieve the project design and deliverables (outcomes)? Does it appear reasonable? Note any inconsistencies, resources missing, costs that seem high, or other concerns in the comment section.

0 - Did not answer question

1 - Weak

2 - Average

3 - Strong and/or compelling

Comments regarding budget and budget narrative:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Is there anything else you would like to add about this submission?

QUESTIONS

What questions would you ask someone who is very familiar with the project, if you had the chance? Is there any answer that would influence your recommendation significantly?

RECOMMENDATION

Do you recommend awarding this project a grant?

- Yes (Submittable will move you to the next question)
- No (Submittable will skip the next question)

If yes, do you recommend awarding the full amount of funding requested?

- Yes
- No

Comments regarding recommended award amount: